



PUBLIC TRANSPARENCY REPORT **2025**

Itaú Asset Management

Generated 24-11-2025

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment.

It was developed with investors, for investors. PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders. This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2025 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Legal Context

PRI recognises that the laws and regulations to which signatories are subject differ by jurisdiction. We do not seek or require any signatory to take an action that is not in compliance with applicable laws. All signatory responses should therefore be understood to be subject to and informed by the legal and regulatory context in which the signatory operates.

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2025 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS (ORO)	7
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	10
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	27
LISTED EQUITY (LE)	59
FIXED INCOME (FI)	67
HEDGE FUNDS (HF)	77
SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)	85
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	89

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

Our mission is to help our clients achieve their long-term financial goals, contributing to the evolution of sustainable investing through our platform of products and services.

We consider sustainability investing strategic and essential to positively contribute to the development of more efficient and sustainable capital markets.

As managers of our clients' assets, we have a duty to base our investments on a comprehensive understanding of all risks and opportunities that results from our decisions.

We believe sustainability issues can materially affect the value of the companies in which we invest. Our approach to Sustainable and Responsible Investment helps us achieve our fiduciary duty, creating value for our customers by providing returns better adjusted to their risk profiles.

We consider engagement with investee companies as an adequate way to expand our knowledge on companies and encourage best ESG practices. The goal is to establish a constructive dialogue and deepen the understanding of how certain ESG issues can impact investee companies' operations and cash flows.

Good corporate governance practices promote greater alignment between the interests of companies and those of their investors. We have a Proxy Voting policy developed in accordance with ANBIMA's self-regulation code. In exercising the voting rights we consider aspects related to the sustainability of businesses guided by three pillars: environmental preservation, social development and good corporate governance.

We began developing our ESG integration model for company valuation in 2010. The pragmatic approach in this model aims to estimate the financial impact of material ESG issues for each invested company. In 2013 and 2014 we wrote and publicly released two white papers containing our ESG integration models for fixed income and listed equities. In 2016 we collaborated with the development of AMEC's (Brazil Capital Market Association) Stewardship code. Currently all of Itaú AM AuM undergoes ESG integration. The ESG dedicated unit is a formal member of the credit and investment committees with veto power.

Itaú Asset Management ESG integration model is centered in the investment research process, with a focus on fundamental equity / credit research. The ESG unit projects ESG issues into the DCF and credit models, focusing on the cash flow lines, thus influencing target prices / ratings of companies we cover and, ultimately, buy-hold-sell decisions by our PMs. The ESG Integration method is systemically applied across our investment strategies. From a listed equities perspective, the objective is to estimate the NPV of material ESG issues to anticipate events that may result in value creation or destruction. The method consists of eight cross industry dimensions and a diverse set of sector specific value drivers. From a credit perspective, the eight dimension model is also applied with the objective to stress test the events that may result in challenges for companies in servicing their debt.

Itaú Asset Management is committed to integrating ESG into all its investments and to provide its clients with dedicated ESG products and investment strategies.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

In 2024 we maintained 100% of ESG coverage for all eligible assets at Itaú Asset Management. This milestone was reached in 2020, 10 years after the start of our ESG journey, demonstrating the long-standing dedication and commitment to sustainable investing. Itaú Asset Management ESG integration approach has been successful in estimating and materializing financial impacts for ESG issues across different industries and sectors. As a result, C-level executives, portfolio managers of different strategies and research analysts are engaged during the investment process and contribute to reach the most updated estimations of ESG risks and opportunities. Being a large fixed income manager with a multitude of strategies for diverse audiences demands smart allocation. ESG integration also helps improve the distribution of purchased securities across our funds, delivering better risk adjusted returns to our individual, private bank, and institutional clients worldwide.

Regarding our stewardship practices, in 2024 we participated in 224 AGM s and 181 engagements on ESG topics. The engagements objectives were to obtain ESG information relevant to the investment process and encourage the adoption of best ESG practices. We also participate in collective engagements together with other investors who share our vision about the importance of sustainable investment. We seek to participate in collective engagements considering the potential positive impact of the initiative and our exposure on the targeted companies.

In 2020 we joined the Investor Policy Dialog on Deforestation (IPDD) Initiative, which focuses in engaging with public agencies and industry associations on the issues of deforestation.

The IPDD was formed in 2020 in response to the increasing rates of deforestation being observed in Brazil and other countries. The goal of the IPDD workstream is to coordinate a public dialogue with the Brazilian government related authorities and associations, as well as other stakeholders on halting illegal deforestation.

The goal for the coming years is to advance in our engagement agenda focusing on ESG integration and material themes such as corporate governance, deforestation and climate change.

Throughout our ESG journey, we seek to contribute to investor education through participation in events and the elaboration of white papers that describe our ESG integration models and detail how we assess the importance of specific themes. In 2024, we launched a new white paper about Itaú Asset Management 20 years of responsible investment, describing the journey, its challenges and opportunities.

Section 3. Next steps

- What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

The goal for the coming years is to advance in our engagement agenda focusing on ESG integration and material themes such as corporate governance, deforestation and climate change.

Itaú Asset Management has also established specific public goals regarding its sustainable investment agenda. The goals are disclosed at our website and consists of the following:

1. Maintain 100% ESG coverage for all eligible assets;
2. Develop and maintain sustainable and impact investment products in our offerings.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Pedro Gabriel Boainain

Position

CIO

Organisation's Name

Itaú Asset Management

☒ A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

☐ B

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS (ORO)

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ORO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Other Responsible Investment Reporting Obligations	6

During the reporting year, to which international or regional ESG-related legislation(s) and/or regulation(s) did your organisation report?

- ☐ (A) Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) [European Union]
- ☐ (B) Directive on AIFM (2011/61/EU) [European Union]
- ☐ (C) Enhancing climate-related disclosures by asset managers, life insurers and FCA-regulated pension providers (PS21/24) [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (D) EU Taxonomy Regulation [European Union]
- ☐ (E) Improving shareholder engagement and increasing transparency around stewardship (PS19/13) [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (F) IORP II (Directive 2016/2341) [European Union]
- ☐ (G) Law on Energy and Climate (Article 29) [France]
- ☐ (H) MiFID II (2017/565) [European Union]
- ☐ (I) Modern Slavery Act [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (J) PEPP Regulation (2019/1238) [European Union]
- ☐ (K) PRIIPS Regulation (2016/2340 and 2014/286) [European Union]
- ☐ (L) Regulation on the Integration of Sustainability Risks in the Governance of Insurance and Reinsurance Undertakings (2021/1256) [European Union]
- ☒ (M) SFDR Regulation (2019/2088) [European Union]
- ☐ (N) SRD II (Directive 2017/828) [European Union]
- ☐ (O) The Occupational Pension Schemes Regulation on Climate Change Governance and Reporting [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (P) Climate Risk Management (Guideline B-15) [Canada]
- ☐ (Q) Continuous Disclosure Obligations (National Instrument 51-102) [Canada]
- ☐ (R) Disposiciones de Carácter General Aplicables a los Fondos de Inversión y a las Personas que les Prestan Servicios (SIEFORE) [Mexico]
- ☐ (S) Instrucciones para la Integración de Datores ASG en Los Mecanismos de Revelación de Información para FIC (External Circular 005, updated) [Colombia]
- ☐ (T) Provides for the creation, operation, and disclosure of information of investment funds, as well as the provision of services for the funds, and revokes the regulations that specifies (CVM Resolution No. 175) [Brazil]
- ☐ (U) SEC Expansion of the Names Rule [United States of America]
- ☐ (V) SEC Pay Ratio Disclosure Rule [United States of America]
- ☐ (W) ASIC RG65 Section 1013DA Disclosure Guidelines [Australia]
- ☐ (X) Circular to Licensed Corporations: Management and Disclosure of Climate-related Risks by Fund Managers [Hong Kong SAR]
- ☐ (Y) Financial Investment Services and Capital Markets Act (FSCMA) [Republic of Korea]
- ☐ (Z) Financial Instruments and Exchange Act (FIEA) [Japan]
- ☐ (AA) Financial Markets Conduct Act [New Zealand]
- ☐ (AB) Guiding Opinions on Regulating the Asset Management Business of Financial Institutions [China]
- ☐ (AC) Guidelines on Environmental Risk Management for Asset Managers [Singapore]
- ☐ (AD) Guidelines on Sustainable and Responsible Investment Funds [Malaysia]
- ☐ (AE) Modern Slavery Act (2018) [Australia]

- ☐ (AF) Stewardship Code for all Mutual Funds and All Categories of AIFs [India]
- ☐ (AG) ADGM Sustainable Finance Regulatory Framework [United Arab Emirates]
- ☐ (AH) JSE Limited Listings Requirements [South Africa]

☒ (AI) Other

Specify:

Brazil ANBIMA and CVM Sustainable Investment Regulation.

- ☐ (AJ) Other
- ☐ (AK) Other
- ☐ (AL) Other
- ☐ (AM) Other
- ☐ (AN) Not applicable; our organisation did not report to any ESG-related legislation and/or regulation during the reporting year.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ORO 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Other Responsible Investment Reporting Obligations	6

During the reporting year, to which voluntary responsible investment/ESG frameworks did your organisation report?

- ☐ (A) Asset Owners Stewardship Code [Australia]
- ☒ (B) Código Brasileiro de Stewardship [Brazil]
- ☐ (C) New Zealand Stewardship Code
- ☐ (D) Principles for Responsible Institutional Investors (Stewardship Code) [Japan]
- ☐ (E) Stewardship Code [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (F) Stewardship Framework for Institutional Investors [United States of America]
- ☐ (G) CFA Institute ESG Disclosure Standards for Investment Products [Global]
- ☐ (H) Guidelines on Funds' Names using ESG or Sustainability-related Terms [European Union]
- ☐ (I) Luxflag ESG Label [Luxembourg]
- ☐ (J) RIAA Responsible Investment Certification Program [Australia]
- ☐ (K) SRI Label [France]
- ☒ (L) ANBIMA Code of Regulation and Best Practices of Investment Funds [Brazil]
- ☐ (M) Code for Institutional Investors 2022 [Malaysia]
- ☐ (N) Code for Responsible Investing in South Africa (CRISA 2) [South Africa]
- ☐ (O) Corporate Governance Guidelines [Canada]
- ☐ (P) Defined Contribution Code of Practice [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (Q) European Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicles (INREV) Guidelines [Global]
- ☐ (R) Global ESG Benchmark for Real Assets (GRESB) [Global]
- ☐ (S) Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN) Impact Reporting and Investment Standards (IRIS+) [Global]
- ☐ (T) OECD Guidelines for MNEs - Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors [Global]
- ☐ (U) UN Guiding Principles (UNGP) on Business and Human Rights [Global]
- ☐ (V) Net Zero Asset Managers (NZAM) Initiative [Global]
- ☐ (W) Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance (NZAOA) [Global]
- ☒ (X) Recommendations of the Taskforce for Climate-related Financial Disclosure (TCFD) [Global]
- ☐ (Y) The Net Zero Investment Framework (NZIF) 2.0 [Global]
- ☐ (Z) Recommendations of the Taskforce for Nature-related Financial Disclosure (TNFD) [Global]
- ☐ (AA) Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards [Global]
- ☐ (AB) IFC Performance Standard [Global]
- ☐ (AC) International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) Standards [Global]
- ☒ (AD) Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) Standards [Global]
- ☐ (AE) Other
- ☐ (AF) Other
- ☐ (AG) Other
- ☐ (AH) Other
- ☐ (AI) Other

- (AJ) Not applicable; our organisation did not report to any voluntary responsible investment/ESG frameworks during the reporting year.

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2024

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

- ☐ (A) Yes
- ☒ (B) No

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries not part of row (B), and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 164,510,015,727.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 0.00

ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	>0-10%	0%
(B) Fixed income	>75%	0%
(C) Private equity	>0-10%	0%
(D) Real estate	0%	0%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	>10-50%	0%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	>0-10%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

(I) Other - (1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM - Specify:

Commodities - 0.29%.

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 LE	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed listed equity	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed listed equity AUM.

(A) Passive equity >50-75%

(B) Active – quantitative 0%

(C) Active – fundamental >10-50%

(D) Other strategies 0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 FI	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM.

(A) Passive – SSA >50-75%

(B) Passive – corporate >10-50%

(C) Active – SSA >0-10%

(D) Active – corporate >10-50%

(E) Securitised 0%

(F) Private debt 0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED PRIVATE EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 PE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed private equity	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed private equity AUM.

(A) Venture capital	>75%
(B) Growth capital	0%
(C) (Leveraged) buy-out	0%
(D) Distressed, turnaround or special situations	0%
(E) Secondaries	0%
(F) Other	0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED HEDGE FUND

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 HF	CORE	OO 5	OO 11	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed hedge fund	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed hedge fund assets.

(A) Multi-strategy	>50-75%
(B) Long/short equity	>0-10%
(C) Long/short credit	>0-10%
(D) Distressed, special situations and event-driven fundamental	0%
(E) Structured credit	>10-50%

(F) Global macro	>10-50%
(G) Commodity trading advisor	>0-10%
(H) Other strategies	0%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity	(11) >90 to <100%
(B) Fixed income – SSA	(11) >90 to <100%
(C) Fixed income – corporate	(11) >90 to <100%
(F) Private equity	(11) >90 to <100%
(I) Hedge funds	(11) >90 to <100%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive	(3) Fixed income - active	(4) Fixed income - passive
(A) Yes, through internal staff	☒	☒	☒	☒
(B) Yes, through service providers	☐	☐	☐	☐
(C) Yes, through external managers	☐	☐	☐	☐
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	☐	☐	☐	☐
	(5) Private equity	(8) Hedge funds	(11) Other	
(A) Yes, through internal staff	☐	☒	☐	
(B) Yes, through service providers	☐	☐	☐	
(C) Yes, through external managers	☐	☐	☐	
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	☒	☐	☒	

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 HF	CORE	OO 5	OO 9	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation have direct investments in listed equity across your hedge fund strategies?

- ☒ (A) Yes
☐ (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive	(3) Hedge funds
(A) Yes, through internal staff	☒	☒	☒
(B) Yes, through service providers	☐	☐	☐
(C) Yes, through external managers	☐	☐	☐
(D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting	☐	☐	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active	(11) >90 to <100%
(B) Listed equity - passive	(11) >90 to <100%
(C) Hedge funds	(11) >90 to <100%

STEWARDSHIP NOT CONDUCTED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship not conducted	2

Describe why your organisation does not currently conduct stewardship and/or (proxy) voting.

Stewardship, excluding (proxy) voting
(E) Private equity

Itau AM has ~0.05% of its AuM in private equity. These investments are currently not part of the ESG unit activities due to the relative low materiality of the asset class.

(K) Other

Commodities: not applicable.

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, into your investment decisions?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions
(A) Listed equity - passive	☒	☐
(C) Listed equity - active - fundamental	☒	☐
(E) Fixed income - SSA	☒	☐
(F) Fixed income - corporate	☒	☐
(I) Private equity	☐	☒
(L) Hedge funds - Multi-strategy	☒	☐
(M) Hedge funds - Long/short equity	☒	☐
(N) Hedge funds - Long/short credit	☒	☐
(P) Hedge funds - Structured credit	☒	☐
(Q) Hedge funds - Global macro	☐	☒
(R) Hedge funds - Commodity trading advisor	☐	☒
(V) Other: Commodities - 0.29%.	☐	☒

ESG NOT INCORPORATED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	OO 11, OO 12–14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1

Describe why your organisation does not currently incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions and/or in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers.

Internally managed

(I) Private equity

Itaú AM exposure in private equity (0.05% of its AuM) is not material at this time.

(L) Hedge funds

Itaú AM integrates ESG into its hedge fund strategies, except for global macro and commodities strategies since methodologies for ESG integration in these asset classes are still evolving within the investment industry.

(O) Other

Not applicable.

ESG STRATEGIES

LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17 LE	CORE	OO 11	OO 17.1 LE, LE 12	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity

(A) Screening alone	0%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	>75%
(D) Screening and integration	0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%

(F) Screening and thematic	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%
(H) None	0%

FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17 FI	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income - SSA	(2) Fixed income - corporate
(A) Screening alone	0%	0%
(B) Thematic alone	0%	0%
(C) Integration alone	>75%	>75%
(D) Screening and integration	0%	0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%	>0-10%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%	0%
(H) None	0%	0%

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

☒ **(A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable**

Provide the percentage of total AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:

>0-10%

- ☐ (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- ☐ (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.1	CORE	OO 18	OO 18.2	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do any of your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal ESG and/or RI certification(s) or label(s) awarded by a third party?

☒ **(A) Yes, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal labels or certifications**

Provide the percentage of total AUM that your labelled and/or certified products and/or funds represent:

>0-10%

- ☐ (B) No, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds do not hold formal labels or certifications

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Itau Active Fix ESG fund have a SPO certification as an SFDR article 8 product.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.2	CORE	OO 18.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Which ESG/RI certifications or labels do you hold?

- ☐ (A) Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
- ☐ (B) GRESB
- ☐ (C) Austrian Ecolabel (UZ49)
- ☐ (D) B Corporation
- ☐ (E) BREEAM
- ☐ (F) CBI Climate Bonds Standard

- ☐ (G) DDV-Nachhaltigkeitskodex-ESG-Strategie
- ☐ (H) DDV-Nachhaltigkeitskodex-ESG-Impact
- ☐ (I) EU Ecolabel
- ☐ (J) EU Green Bond Standard
- ☐ (K) Febelfin label (Belgium)
- ☐ (L) Finansol
- ☐ (M) FNG-Siegel Ecolabel (Germany, Austria and Switzerland)
- ☐ (N) Greenfin label (France)
- ☐ (O) Grüner Pfandbrief
- ☐ (P) ICMA Green Bond Principles
- ☐ (Q) ICMA Social Bonds Principles
- ☐ (R) ICMA Sustainability Bonds Principles
- ☐ (S) ICMA Sustainability-linked Bonds Principles
- ☐ (T) Kein Verstoß gegen Atomwaffensperrvertrag
- ☐ (U) Le label ISR (French government SRI label)
- ☐ (V) Luxflag Climate Finance
- ☐ (W) Luxflag Environment
- ☐ (X) Luxflag ESG
- ☐ (Y) Luxflag Green Bond
- ☐ (Z) Luxflag Microfinance
- ☐ (AA) Luxflag Sustainable Insurance Products
- ☐ (AB) National stewardship code
- ☐ (AC) Nordic Swan Ecolabel
- ☐ (AD) Other SRI label based on EUROSIF SRI Transparency Code (e.g. Novethic)
- ☐ (AE) People's Bank of China green bond guidelines
- ☐ (AF) RIAA (Australia)
- ☐ (AG) Towards Sustainability label (Belgium)
- ☒ (AH) Other

Specify:

ANBIMA and CVM ESG regulations. Brazilian Stewardship Code.

PASSIVE INVESTMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 19	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	LE 8, FI 10	PUBLIC	Passive investments	1

What percentage of your total internally managed passive listed equity and/or fixed income passive AUM utilise an ESG index or benchmark?

Percentage of AUM that utilise an ESG index or benchmark

(A) Listed equity - passive	>0-10%
(B) Fixed income - passive	0%

THEMATIC BONDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20	CORE	OO 17 FI	FI 15, FI 17	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1

What percentage of your total environmental and/or social thematic bonds are labelled by the issuers in accordance with industry-recognised standards?

Percentage of your total environmental and/or social thematic bonds labelled by the issuers

(A) Green or climate bonds	>10-50%
(B) Social bonds	>10-50%
(C) Sustainability bonds	>50-75%
(D) Sustainability-linked bonds	>0-10%
(E) SDG or SDG-linked bonds	0%
(F) Other	0%
(G) Bonds not labelled by the issuer	0%

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	☒	☐	☐
Confidence Building Measures	☒	☐	☐
(A) Listed equity – passive	☐	☒	☐
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	☐	☒	☐
(E) Fixed income – SSA	☒	☐	☐
(F) Fixed income – corporate	☒	☐	☐
(L) Hedge funds – Multi-strategy	☒	☐	☐
(M) Hedge funds – Long/short equity	☒	☐	☐
(N) Hedge funds – Long/short credit	☒	☐	☐
(P) Hedge funds – Structured credit	☒	☐	☐

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- ☐ (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- ☒ (B) Publish as ranges

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☒ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☒ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☒ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- ☒ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- ☐ (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☒ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☒ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☒ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- ☒ (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☒ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- ☒ (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here

Specify:

ESG disclosure and reporting.

- (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☒ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☒ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- ☒ (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues

Specify:

Biodiversity and land use; pandemics; water stress and climate change.

- (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

☒ **(A) Overall approach to responsible investment**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ **(B) Guidelines on environmental factors**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ **(C) Guidelines on social factors**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ **(D) Guidelines on governance factors**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ **(E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ **(F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ **(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ **(H) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ **(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold**

Add link:

https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ISG/White_Paper_ESG_RF_Portugues.pdf

☒ **(K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ **(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ **(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement**

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itaubr.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ (N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itaubr.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ (O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itaubr.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

☒ (P) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here

Add link:

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itaubr.com.br/ESG/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf>

- ☐ (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1 – 6

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?

☒ (A) Yes

Elaborate:

Itaú Asset Management sustainable investment policy establishes the principles and guidelines for Itaú Asset Management's activities in terms of sustainable and responsible investment, with the main objectives of fulfilling its fiduciary duty and contributing to the generation of positive externalities for society and for the environment.

- ☐ (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- ☒ (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- ☒ (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- ☒ (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- ☒ (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- ☐ (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- ☒ (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- ☒ (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- ☒ (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- ☐ (I) Other
- ☐ (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 6	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- ☒ (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors
- ☒ (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors
- ☒ (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors
- ☐ (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- ☐ (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- ☐ (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- ☐ (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- ☒ (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- ☐ (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment						
(B) Guidelines on environmental factors					(7) 100%	
(C) Guidelines on social factors						
(D) Guidelines on governance factors						

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change				(1) for all of our AUM		
(B) Specific guidelines on human rights				(1) for all of our AUM		
(C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues				(1) for all of our AUM		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☒ **(A) Listed equity**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%

☒ **(11) 100%**

☒ **(B) Fixed income**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%

☒ **(11) 100%**

☒ **(F) Hedge funds**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☒ **(8) >70% to 80%**
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%
- ☐ (11) 100%

(2) If your AUM coverage is below 100%, explain why: (Voluntary)

Itau AM HF strategies are exposed to assets such as commodities and derivatives which are not eligible for RI and stewardship activities.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10.1	CORE	OO 9.1, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

☒ **(A) Actively managed listed equity**

(1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%

☒ **(11) 100%**

☒ **(B) Passively managed listed equity**

(1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%

☒ **(11) 100%**

☒ **(C) Direct listed equity holdings in hedge fund portfolios**

(1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%

☒ **(11) 100%**

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☐ (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
☒ **(B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent**
Specify:

Itau AM CEO and CIO are responsible for the oversight of all responsible investment activities at Itau AM.

- ☐ (C) Investment committee, or equivalent
☒ **(D) Head of department, or equivalent**
Specify department:

The head PMs for all investment strategies are responsible for the implementation of RI activities in their investment strategies with the contribution of Itau AM dedicated ESG unit.

- ☐ (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment ☒

(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors ☒

(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes ☒

(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors) ☒

(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	☑
(F) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues	☑
(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold	☑
(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment	☑
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	☑
(K) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement	☑
(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders	☑
(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting	☑
(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)	○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1 – 6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

● (A) Yes

Describe how you do this:

The head of ESG monitors all engagement activities and periodically reports to Itau AM CEO and CIO.

- (B) No
- (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☒ **(A) Internal role(s)**

Specify:

The implementation of RI approach is the responsibility of the dedicated ESG unit and is shared with all portfolio managers and sector analysts, who are responsible for knowing the ESG analysis and considering them in their investment decisions.

☒ **(B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers**

Specify:

We use service providers in our voting activities and company analysis. These providers must necessarily integrate ESG issues into their activities and recommendations.

- (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

☒ **(A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)**

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

- (1) KPIs are linked to compensation
- (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation

☒ **(3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation**

Describe: (Voluntary)

- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 15	PLUS	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent

(A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation

☒

(B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights

☒

(C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues

☒

(D) The regular training of this senior leadership role does not include any of the above responsible investment competencies

☐

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- ☒ (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- ☒ (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- ☒ (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- ☒ (E) Climate-related commitments
- ☒ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- ☐ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- ☐ (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- ☒ (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- ☒ (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- ☒ (A) Yes, including governance-related recommended disclosures
- ☒ (B) Yes, including strategy-related recommended disclosures
- ☒ (C) Yes, including risk management-related recommended disclosures
- ☒ (D) Yes, including applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (E) None of the above

Add link(s):

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.italu.com.br/SMN/relatorio-esg-italu-asset-2024.pdf>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 18	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, to which international responsible investment standards, frameworks, or regulations did your organisation report?

- ☒ (A) Disclosures against the European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR)

Link to example of public disclosures

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.italu.com.br/ISG/20250530%20Relat%C3%B3rio%20de%20Verifica%C3%A7%C3%A3o%20-%20Ita%C3%BA%20Active%20Fix%20ESG%201.pdf>

- ☐ (B) Disclosures against the European Union's Taxonomy
- ☐ (C) Disclosures against the CFA's ESG Disclosures Standard
- ☒ (D) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations

Specify:

GRI

Link to example of public disclosures

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.italu.com.br/SMN/relatorio-esg-italu-asset-2024.pdf>

- ☒ (E) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations

Specify:

SASB

Link to example of public disclosures

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.italu.com.br/SMN/relatorio-esg-italu-asset-2024.pdf>

- ☐ (F) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- ☐ (G) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed all of our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- ☒ (B) Yes, we publicly disclosed some of our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

Add link(s):

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itaubr.com.br/SMN/relatorio-esg-itaubr-asset-2024.pdf>

- ☐ (C) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- ☐ (D) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☐ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☐ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- ☐ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- ☐ (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- ☐ (E) Other elements
- ☒ (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☒ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

☒ (B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

☒ (C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

☒ (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

Specify: (Voluntary)

- (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(6) Hedge funds
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	☒	☒	☐
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	☐	☐	☒

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 23	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How does your organisation, or the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, prioritise the investees or other entities on which to focus its stewardship efforts?

Stewardship efforts are prioritized based on holdings size and exposure to material ESG themes.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- ☒ (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- ☐ (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- ☐ (C) Other
- ☐ (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24.1	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Elaborate on your organisation's default position on collaborative stewardship, or the position of the external service providers or external investment managers acting on your behalf, including any other details on your overall approach to collaboration.

We consider collective engagement with investee companies to be an appropriate way to broaden our knowledge of companies. The objective is to promote best practices, establishing a constructive dialogue and deepening understanding of how certain ESG issues can impact their market value. When appropriate, we encourage the adoption of best management and corporate governance practices in order to reduce risks and protect the interests of our clients. We encourage investee companies to disclose ESG information that may impact their financial performance. We participate in collective engagements with public and private entities with the aim of joining forces with investors who share our view on the importance of the topic sustainability in investments. In these engagements, we always seek to promote better environmental, social and corporate governance practices. We seek to participate in collective engagements considering the potential positive impact of the initiative and our exposure to target companies.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 25	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.

- ☒ (A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff
 - Select from the list:
 - ☒ 1
 - ☐ 5
- ☐ (B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable
- ☒ (C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets, sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external property managers
 - Select from the list:
 - ☒ 2

- 5
- ☒ (D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities
 - Select from the list:
 - ☒ 4
 - 5
- ☒ (E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or similar
 - Select from the list:
 - ☒ 3
 - 5
- (F) We do not use any of these channels

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 27	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How are your organisation's stewardship activities linked to your investment decision making, and vice versa?

Stewardship activities are conducted by the ESG unit with the participation of the appropriate investment teams. In cases where the ESG unit conducts the stewardship activities without the investment teams, the results of the initiative are shared with appropriate portfolio managers and analysts for consideration in their investment process.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 28	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

If relevant, provide any further details on your organisation's overall stewardship strategy.

Our publicly-available Sustainable Investment Policy outlines our approach to the engagement with investees for active and passive investment strategies. Engagement takes place through interactions and dialogues between investors and current or potential investees, with the following main objectives:

- encouraging the adoption of best practice in management and governance
- influencing sustainability-focused policies and practices
- discussing ESG risks and opportunities
- encouraging transparency and disclosure of material ESG information
- increasing our understanding of certain environmental, social and governance issues that may impact investees market value or cash flows.

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 29	CORE	OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

☒ (A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes

Select from the below list:

- ☒ (1) in all cases
- ☐ (2) in a majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in a minority of cases

☒ (B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear

Select from the below list:

- ☒ (1) in all cases
- ☐ (2) in a majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in a minority of cases
- ☐ (D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations
- ☐ (E) Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 30	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- ☐ (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- ☐ (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- ☐ (C) Other
- ☒ (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- ☐ (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- ☐ (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- ☐ (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- ☐ (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure

- (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
- (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

There are no shareholder resolutions in the Brazilian market.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 32	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- ☐ (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- ☐ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website
- ☐ (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
- (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33	CORE	OO 9	PGS 33.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

- (A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes

Add link(s):

<https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/sobre-nos/investimento-responsavel/participacao-em-assembleias/>

- (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33.1	CORE	PGS 33	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's annual general meeting (AGM) or extraordinary general meeting (EGM) do you publish your voting decisions?

- (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 34	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

	(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations	(2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution
(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale		
(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company	(2) for a majority of votes	
(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information	☐	☐
(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year	☐	☒

(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year - Explain why:

Brazil capital market do not have shareholder resolutions. Itau AM votes are publicly disclosed at Itau AM webiste: <https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/sobre-nos/investimento-responsavel/participacao-em-assembleias/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 35	PLUS	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How does your organisation ensure vote confirmation, i.e. that your votes have been cast and counted correctly?

After votes are sent to our service provider, we receive a communication confirming each vote. This process is before the service provider cast the votes in the AGM so if there is any discrepancy there is the possibility to adjust the votes.

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9 HF, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Direct listed equity holdings in hedge fund portfolios
(A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one	☒	☒
(B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal	☐	☐
(C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter	☐	☐
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors	☐	☐
(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director	☐	☐
(F) Divesting	☐	☐
(G) Litigation	☐	☐
(H) Other	☐	☐
(I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings	☐	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 37	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

- ☒ (A) **Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one**
- ☐ (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
- ☒ (C) **Not investing**
- ☒ (D) **Reducing exposure to the investee entity**
- ☐ (E) Divesting
- ☐ (F) Litigation
- ☐ (G) Other
- ☐ (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 38	PLUS	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

Describe your approach to escalation for your SSA and/or private debt fixed income assets.

(A) SSA - Approach to escalation

Itau AM escalation approach includes individual and collective engagements.

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- ☒ (B) **Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI**
- ☐ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- ☐ (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- ☒ (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- ☒ (B) We responded to policy consultations
- ☒ (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups

Describe:

Participation in the collaborative engagement initiative Investor Policy Dialogue on Deforestation (IPDD) with Brazilian public sector and participation in the LAB initiative, where the promotion of ESG products and carbon markets are discussed with CVM, Brazilian capital market regulator.

- ☐ (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative
- ☐ (E) Other methods

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

- ☒ (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions

Add link(s):

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.italu.com.br/SMN/relatorio-esg-italu-asset-2024.pdf>

- ☒ (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers

Add link(s):

<https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.italu.com.br/SMN/relatorio-esg-italu-asset-2024.pdf>

- ☐ (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

☒ (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Itau AM ESG model estimates the financial impact of climate related impacts such as physical impacts, changes in hydrological cycles, carbon pricing, extreme weather events, forestry and agricultural impacts and the development of products and services aligned with a more carbon efficient economy.

☒ (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Climate change impacts mentioned in the item (A) may materialize in the long term, after Itau AM investment horizon. In these cases, some impacts such as carbon pricing and climate scenarios are estimated and shared with PMs and analysts. The goal is to share the expected impact of these drivers so PMs and analysts better understand the long term risks and opportunities of their investees. This processes contribute to a more informed investment decisional, and risk adjusted returns.

- ☐ (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

☒ (A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

Climate change risks and opportunities are an integral part of Itau AM ESG integration models. These issues are mapped and estimated per individual companies. The information is shared with PMs and analysts for a more informed investment decision. Dedicated products related to climate change are the dedicated hydrogen ETF (YDRO11), renewable energy fund and the green revenues ETF (REVE11).

- ☐ (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 42	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Which sectors are covered by your organisation's strategy addressing high-emitting sectors?

- ☐ (A) Coal
- ☐ (B) Gas
- ☒ (C) Oil

Describe your strategy:

Engage and incentivize emissions reduction and increased transparency through the participation in the "Investidores pelo Clima" engagement initiative.

- ☐ (D) Utilities
- ☐ (E) Cement
- ☒ (F) Steel

Describe your strategy:

Engage and incentivize emissions reduction and increased transparency through the participation in the "Investidores pelo Clima" engagement initiative.

- ☐ (G) Aviation
- ☐ (H) Heavy duty road
- ☐ (I) Light duty road
- ☐ (J) Shipping
- ☐ (K) Aluminium
- ☐ (L) Agriculture, forestry, fishery
- ☐ (M) Chemicals
- ☐ (N) Construction and buildings
- ☐ (O) Textile and leather
- ☐ (P) Water
- ☐ (Q) Other
- ☐ (R) We do not have a strategy addressing high-emitting sectors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

- ☐ (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- ☐ (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- ☐ (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- ☒ (D) Yes, using other scenarios

Specify:

Itau AM climate scenarios white paper considers the potential impacts for IPCC SR15 scenario and LSE scenario for increases in 1.5 C, 2.0 C and business as usual.

- ☐ (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General
--------	------	-----	-----	--------	----------------	---------

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

☒ **(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks**

(1) Describe your process

Itau AM ESG unit is responsible for analyzing investees and estimating the impacts of climate related risks and opportunities according to Itau AM ESG integration model publicly available.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Companies are analyzed and monitored by the ESG unit and the results are a formal part of the investment process. Risk management teams are responsible for monitoring ESG integration and making sure proposed credit investments have not been vetoed by the ESG unit.

☐ (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks

☐ (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General
--------	------	-----	-----	--------	----------------	---------

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and publicly disclose?

☒ **(A) Exposure to physical risk**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

☒ **(1) Metric or variable used**

- ☐ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
- ☐ (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

☒ **(B) Exposure to transition risk**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

☒ **(1) Metric or variable used**

- ☐ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
- ☐ (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

☒ **(C) Internal carbon price**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

☐ (1) Metric or variable used

☒ **(2) Metric or variable used and disclosed**

- ☐ (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://assetfront.arquivosparceiros.cloud.itau.com.br/ISG/White_Paper_ESG_Equities_Portugues.pdf

☐ (D) Total carbon emissions

☐ (E) Weighted average carbon intensity

☐ (F) Avoided emissions

☐ (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)

☐ (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals

☐ (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities

☐ (J) Other metrics or variables

- (K) Our organisation did not use or publicly disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

☒ **(A) Scope 1 emissions**

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric disclosed
 - **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.itaubr.com.br/download-file/v2/d/42787847-4cf6-4461-94a5-40ed237dca33/5515608b-6771-7238-9846-cbb71a14c8db?origin=2>

☒ **(B) Scope 2 emissions**

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric disclosed
 - **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.itaubr.com.br/download-file/v2/d/42787847-4cf6-4461-94a5-40ed237dca33/5515608b-6771-7238-9846-cbb71a14c8db?origin=2>

☒ **(C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)**

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric disclosed
 - **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.itaubr.com.br/download-file/v2/d/42787847-4cf6-4461-94a5-40ed237dca33/5515608b-6771-7238-9846-cbb71a14c8db?origin=2>

- (D) Our organisation did not publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

As part of the Itaú Group, Itaú Asset Management is included in the group wide GHG inventory and compensation strategies.

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- **(A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities**
- (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- ☐ (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- ☐ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- ☐ (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- ☐ (E) The EU Taxonomy
- ☐ (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- ☐ (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
- ☐ (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
- ☐ (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- ☐ (J) Other international framework(s)
- ☐ (K) Other regional framework(s)
- ☐ (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
- ☐ (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☐ (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- ☐ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- ☐ (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- ☐ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- ☐ (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives

☒ (G) Other method

Specify:

Identify sustainability outcomes that are linked to specific investment funds.

- ☐ (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- ☒ (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- ☐ (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48.1	PLUS	PGS 48	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Why has your organisation taken action on specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes is relevant to our financial risks and returns over both short- and long-term horizons
- ☐ (B) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes, although not yet relevant to our financial risks and returns, will become so over a long-time horizon
- ☐ (C) We have been requested to do so by our clients and/or beneficiaries
- ☐ (D) We want to prepare for and respond to legal and regulatory developments that are increasingly addressing sustainability outcomes
- ☐ (E) We want to protect our reputation, particularly in the event of negative sustainability outcomes connected to investments
- ☐ (F) We want to enhance our social licence-to-operate (i.e. the trust of beneficiaries, clients, and other stakeholders)
- ☐ (G) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes in parallel to financial return goals has merit in its own right
- ☐ (H) Other

HUMAN RIGHTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49	PLUS	PGS 47	PGS 49.1	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what steps did your organisation take to identify and take action on the actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

- ☒ (A) We assessed the country level context of our potential and/or existing investments to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes

Explain how these activities were conducted:

During the pre-investment ESG analysis process human rights risks are mapped and analyzed. Companies involved in controversies are engaged to: i) report on how they are managing the controversy, and ii) to promote best social practices specific to their activities.

- ☐ (B) We assessed the sector context of our potential and/or existing investments to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes

- ☐ (C) We assessed the human rights performance of our potential and/or existing investments to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- ☐ (D) We monitored severe and emerging human rights controversies to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- ☐ (E) We took other steps to assess and manage the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to our investment activities
 - ☐ (F) We did not identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to any of our investment activities during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.1	PLUS	PGS 49	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, which stakeholder groups did your organisation include when identifying and taking action on the actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

- ☐ (A) Workers
- ☒ **(B) Communities**
Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- ☐ (1) Energy
- ☒ **(2) Materials**
- ☐ (3) Industrials
- ☐ (4) Consumer discretionary
- ☐ (5) Consumer staples
- ☐ (6) Healthcare
- ☐ (7) Finance
- ☐ (8) Information technology
- ☐ (9) Communication services
- ☐ (10) Utilities
- ☐ (11) Real estate

- ☐ (C) Customers and end-users
- ☒ **(D) Other stakeholder groups**
Specify:

Itau AM engaged with several investee companies on social aspects during the reporting year.

Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- ☐ (1) Energy
- ☐ (2) Materials
- ☐ (3) Industrials
- ☐ (4) Consumer discretionary
- ☐ (5) Consumer staples
- ☐ (6) Healthcare
- ☐ (7) Finance
- ☐ (8) Information technology
- ☐ (9) Communication services
- ☐ (10) Utilities
- ☐ (11) Real estate

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.2	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what information sources did your organisation use to identify the actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to its investment activities?

☒ **(A) Corporate disclosures**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Consultation of disclosed ESG reports and relevant facts by companies.

☒ **(B) Media reports**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Consultation of media for involvement of investee companies in social controversies.

☒ **(C) Reports and other information from NGOs and human rights institutions**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Consultation of VGO reports for involvement of investee companies in social controversies.

☐ **(D) Country reports, for example, by multilateral institutions, e.g. OECD, World Bank**

☒ **(E) Data provider scores or benchmarks**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Consultation of service providers analysis for involvement of investee companies in social controversies.

☒ **(F) Human rights violation alerts**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used to identify the involvement of potential investees and investees in human rights controversies.

☐ **(G) Sell-side research**

☐ **(H) Investor networks or other investors**

☐ **(I) Information provided directly by affected stakeholders or their representatives**

☐ **(J) Social media analysis**

☐ **(K) Other**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 50	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, did your organisation, directly or through influence over investees, enable access to remedy for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to your investment activities?

☐ **(A) Yes, we enabled access to remedy directly for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we caused or contributed to through our investment activities**

☒ **(B) Yes, we used our influence to ensure that our investees provided access to remedies for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we were linked to through our investment activities**

Describe:

Itau AM engaged with companies involved in controversies focused at promoting best social practices for the management of the identified issues. It is not possible to ensure companies will follow the best practices recommended in the engagements.

- (C) No, we did not enable access to remedy directly, or through the use of influence over investees, for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to our investment activities during the reporting year

LISTED EQUITY (LE)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1
Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your listed equity strategies?						
		(1) Passive equity		(3) Active - fundamental		
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors		(1) for all of our AUM		(1) for all of our AUM		
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors		(1) for all of our AUM		(1) for all of our AUM		
(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's average investment holding period		(2) for a majority of our AUM		(2) for a majority of our AUM		
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion		○		○		
(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors		○		○		

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your listed equity strategies?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active - fundamental
(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses		
(C) We do not have a formal process for our listed equity strategies; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion	○	○
(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our listed equity strategies	○	○

PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process incorporate material ESG risks?

(2) Active - fundamental

(A) We incorporate material governance-related risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(D) We do not incorporate material ESG risks into our financial analysis, equity valuation or security rating processes

o

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial analysis, benchmark selection and/or portfolio construction process?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active - fundamental
(A) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on current performance across a range of material ESG factors	(1) in all cases	(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on historical performance across a range of material ESG factors	(1) in all cases	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on material ESG factors that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(1) in all cases	(1) in all cases
(D) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information enabling current, historical and/or future performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of material ESG factors	(3) in a minority of cases	(3) in a minority of cases
(E) We do not incorporate qualitative or quantitative information on material ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial analysis, equity investment or portfolio construction process	○	○

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 5	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Provide an example of how you incorporated ESG factors into your equity selection and research process during the reporting year.

Itaú Asset Management's ESG integration method incorporates ESG issues directly into our equity valuation models by analyzing ESG impacts on cash flow and the cost of capital. The goal of monitoring eight ESG cross industry dimensions and the execution of a rigorous research and quantification process is to allow our teams to anticipate risks and opportunities before they materialize. For listed equities, ESG issues are incorporated into fundamental equity valuation models by analyzing ESG impacts on cash flow and cost of capital. The objective is to adjust individual target stock prices and to anticipate events that result in value creation or destruction. These approaches provide flexibility to our portfolio managers, who use the ESG valuation and research inputs to varying degrees, according to their specific strategies and mandates.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active - fundamental
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM

(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(E) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors

o

o

(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process - Specify:

Material ESG factors are identified and financially estimated by the dedicated ESG unit. These impacts are shared with PMs and analysts for a more informed investment decision, contributing to more risk adjusted returns.

PASSIVE INVESTMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 7	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Passive investments	1

Provide an example of how material ESG factors influenced weightings and tilts in the design of your passively managed funds.

Material ESG factors influence the design and development of new passively managed funds at Itau AM. The search for new benchmarks that provide exposure to ESG themes is part of the challenge of the ESG unit, indexed strategies PMs and analysts. Itau AM currently have indexed listed equities products related hydrogen (ETF YDRO11), green revenue (ETF REVE11), sustainability (ETF ISUS11) and corporate governance (ETF GOVE11). Itau AM also have open ended indexed strategies such as: water fund and renewable energy funds.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 8	PLUS	OO 19, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Passive investments	1

How does your organisation select the ESG index(es) or benchmark(s) for your passive listed equity assets?

☒ **(A) We commission customised indexes**

Explain:

Itau AM, along with index providers, designed new ESG indexes, such as the green revenue index, utilized in the ESG ETF related to green revenues (REVE11).

☒ **(B) We compare the methodology amongst the index providers available**

Explain:

While designing new ESG indexed strategies Itau AM teams compare different ESG indexes available to better understand their differences and ESG characteristics.

☒ **(C) We compare the costs of different options available in the market**

Explain:

Costs are an important input considered during the design of new ESG or standard investment products.

☐ (D) Other

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your listed equity assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

(2) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual listed equity holdings



(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other listed equity holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents



(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities



(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents



(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion

○

(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process

○

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 11	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

Provide an example of how the incorporation of ESG factors in your listed equity valuation or portfolio construction affected the realised returns of those assets.

The ESG Integration Method of Itaú Asset Management incorporates ESG issues into fundamental equity valuation models by analyzing ESG impacts on cash flow and NPV. The objective is to adjust individual target stock prices and to anticipate events that result in value creation or destruction. These approaches provide flexibility to our portfolio managers, who use the ESG valuation and research inputs to varying degrees, according to their specific strategies and mandates. Currently Itaú AM does not track the realized returns of its ESG integration activities across listed equities portfolios since ESG integration occurs during the research and investment decision processes. Each investment strategy can have different investment decisions based on the same ESG data, depending on mandate, investment horizon and PM discretion.

FIXED INCOME (FI)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors depending on different investment time horizons	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) No, we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion	○	○
(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors	○	○

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but does it not include scenario analyses		
(C) We do not have a formal process for our fixed income assets; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion	○	○
(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our fixed income assets	○	○

(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses - Specify: (Voluntary)

The ESG Integration Method of Itaú Asset Management incorporates ESG issues into fundamental fixed income research model, by analyzing ESG impacts on cash flow and solvency indicators. These impact estimations use scenario analysis for different themes such as climate change, water stress, biodiversity impacts, community relations, among other material issues for investees based on their activities or industry.

PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

For the majority of your fixed income investments, does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when assessing their credit quality?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We incorporate material environmental and social factors	☒	☒
(B) We incorporate material governance-related factors	☒	☒
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG factors for the majority of our fixed income investments	☐	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

Does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by country and/or region (e.g. local governance and labour practices)	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by sector	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector	☐	☐

(D) Not applicable; we are not able to differentiate ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector due to the limited universe of our issuers

○

○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 6	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How do you incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors over time into your fixed income asset valuation process?

(1) SSA

(2) Corporate

(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of financial metrics or other quantitative assessments

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) We make a qualitative assessment of how material ESG factors may evolve

(2) for a majority of our AUM

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) We do not incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors

○

○

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your security selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to determining the holding period of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM
(E) Material ESG factors contribute to our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process in other ways		
(F) Our security selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors	o	o

PASSIVE INVESTMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9	PLUS	OO 5.3 FI, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Passive investments	1

Provide an example of how material ESG factors influenced weightings and tilts in the design of your passively managed funds.

The ESG Integration Method of Itaú Asset Management incorporates ESG issues into fundamental fixed income research model by analyzing ESG impacts on cash flow and solvency indicators. The objective is to adjust the rating of issuers and to stress test the events that may result in challenges for servicing their debt. It is also important to consider whether the issuer is a financial institution or a corporate entity. Itaú AM ESG Unit is a formal member of the credit committee, where all credit investment cases are presented and must be approved before investment. Itaú AM ESG Unit present the ESG integration model for each investment case, analyzing the estimated financial impact of E&S issues and the corporate governance structure and practices of the analyzed companies. Itaú AM ESG Unit have a formal veto power in the credit committee. ESG integration helps improve the distribution of purchased securities across our funds, delivering better risk adjusted returns to our individual, private bank, and institutional clients.

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function or group, can veto investment decisions based on ESG considerations	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and/or currencies are monitored for changes in exposure to material ESG factors and any breaches of risk limits	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Overall exposure to specific material ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on the individual issuer or issue sensitivity to these factors		(3) for a minority of our AUM
(D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process		
(E) We do not have a process to incorporate material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process	○	○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your fixed income assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual fixed income holdings	☒	☒
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for other fixed income holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	☐	☒
(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for our stewardship activities	☐	☒
(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents	☐	☒
(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents; our investment professionals identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion	☐	☐
(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process	☐	☐

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 14	PLUS	OO 5.3 FI, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

Provide an example of how the incorporation of environmental and/or social factors in your fixed income valuation or portfolio construction affected the realised returns of those assets.

The ESG Integration Method of Itaú Asset Management incorporates ESG issues into fundamental fixed income research model by analyzing ESG impacts on cash flow and solvency indicators. The objective is to adjust the rating of issuers and to stress test the events that may result in challenges for servicing their debt. It is also important to consider whether the issuer is a financial institution or a corporate entity. Currently Itaú AM does not track the realized returns of its ESG integration activities across credit portfolios since ESG integration occurs during the research and investment decision processes. Each investment strategy can have different investment decisions based on the same ESG data, depending on mandate, investment horizon and PM discretion.

THEMATIC BONDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 15	PLUS	OO 20, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	3

What percentage of environmental, social and/or other labelled thematic bonds held by your organisation has been verified?

As a percentage of your total labelled bonds:

(A) Third-party assurance	(3) >25–50%
(B) Second-party opinion	(4) >50–75%
(C) Approved verifiers or external reviewers (e.g. via CBI or ICMA)	(2) >0–25%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 16	CORE	OO 17 FI, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1

What pre-determined criteria does your organisation use to identify which non-labelled thematic bonds to invest in?

- ☒ (A) The bond's use of proceeds
- ☒ (B) The issuers' targets
- ☐ (C) The issuers' progress towards achieving their targets
- ☒ (D) The issuer profile and how it contributes to their targets

- (E) We do not use pre-determined criteria to identify which non-labelled thematic bonds to invest in
- (F) Not applicable; we do not invest in non-labelled thematic bonds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 17	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1, 2, 6

During the reporting year, what action did you take in the majority of cases when you felt that the proceeds of a thematic bond were not allocated appropriately or in accordance with the terms of the bond deal or prospectus?

- ☐ (A) We engaged with the issuer
- ☐ (B) We alerted thematic bond certification agencies
- ☐ (C) We sold the security
- ☐ (D) We blacklisted the issuer
- ☐ (E) Other action
- (F) We did not take any specific actions when the proceeds of a thematic bond were not allocated according to the terms of the bond deal during the reporting year
- **(G) Not applicable; in the majority of cases, the proceeds of thematic bonds were allocated according to the terms of the bond deal during the reporting year**

HEDGE FUNDS (HF)

POLICY

INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1, 4, 6

What activities, practices and/or relationships are covered by your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies) for the majority of your hedge fund assets?

- ☐ (A) Our ESG requirements of prime brokers
- ☐ (B) Our ESG requirements for administrators and custodians
- ☒ (C) Our ESG requirements regarding (proxy) voting service providers (or other third-party providers), where applicable
- ☐ (D) How breaches in our responsible investment policy are communicated to clients
- ☐ (E) How ESG is incorporated into our long and/or short exposures
- ☒ (F) Whether sectors, issuers, equities and/or asset types are excluded from the portfolio due to ESG factors
- ☒ (G) How we engage with underlying investees, issuers or real assets
- ☐ (H) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not specifically cover activities, practices and/or relationships for our hedge fund assets

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your hedge fund strategies?

	(1) Multi-strategy	(2) Long/short equity	(3) Long/short credit	(5) Structured credit
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors		(2) for a majority of our AUM		(2) for a majority of our AUM
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors		(2) for a majority of our AUM		(2) for a majority of our AUM
(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors into risk assessment and the risk profile of the underlying exposures		(3) for a minority of our AUM		(2) for a majority of our AUM
(D) No, we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion	●	○	●	○
(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors	○	○	○	○

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your hedge fund strategies?

	(1) Multi-strategy	(2) Long/short equity	(3) Long/short credit	(5) Structured credit
(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses				(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, we have a formal process but it does not include scenario analyses				
(C) We do not have a formal process for our hedge fund strategies; our investment professionals monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends at their discretion	☒	☒	☐	☐
(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our hedge fund strategies	☐	☐	☒	☐

(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses - Specify: (Voluntary)

Itau AM ESG Unit is a formal member of the credit committee, where all credit investment cases are presented and must be approved before investment. Itau AM ESG Unit present the ESG integration model for each investment case, analyzing the estimated financial impact of E&S issues and the corporate governance structure and practices of the analyzed companies. This analysis includes scenarios for the implications of changing ESG trends and company performance. Itau AM ESG Unit have a formal veto power in the credit committee. Itau AM does not have LS credit positions.

PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your investment research incorporate material ESG risks and opportunities into the selection of listed companies or issuers of corporate debt?

	(1) Multi-strategy	(2) Long/short equity	(3) Long/short credit	(5) Structured credit
(A) We incorporate material governance-related risks and opportunities that may affect the products and services delivered by listed companies or issuers of corporate debt	(3) in a minority of cases	(3) in a minority of cases		(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks and opportunities that may affect the products and services delivered by listed companies or issuers of corporate debt	(3) in a minority of cases	(3) in a minority of cases		(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks and opportunities that may arise from how listed companies or issuers of corporate debt undertake their operations	(3) in a minority of cases	(3) in a minority of cases		(1) in all cases
(D) We incorporate material environmental and social risks and opportunities linked to a listed company or issuer or corporate debt supply chain	(3) in a minority of cases	(3) in a minority of cases		(1) in all cases
(E) Other				
(F) Our investment research does not incorporate material ESG risks and opportunities into the selection of listed companies or issuers of corporate debt	○	○	○	○

(G) Not applicable, our strategy does not invest directly in listed companies or issuers of corporate debt

○ ○ ● ○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 5	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

Where you invest in commodities or other asset classes, either directly or through other financial instruments, provide an example of how you incorporate material ESG factors into your research, investment strategy, engagement or portfolio construction.

Itau AM ESG integration models currently are not applied directly to commodities, cash and derivatives positions. In addition, Itau AM ESG AuM coverage does not consider positions in derivatives, cash and commodities as eligible assets for ESG integration at this time.

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 6	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

	(1) Multi-strategy	(2) Long/short equity	(3) Long/short credit	(5) Structured credit
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and financial instruments within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM		(3) for a minority of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets and financial instruments within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM		(3) for a minority of our AUM
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the sector, country or regional weighting of assets and financial instruments within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM		(3) for a minority of our AUM

(D) Material ESG factors contribute to determining the construction of short positions

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(E) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(F) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors

○

○

●

○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 7	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Describe how information or data on material ESG factors influenced weightings and portfolio construction in each of your main hedge fund strategies during the reporting year.

Internally managed hedge fund strategies

Example

(A) Multi-strategy

Itau AM ESG integration efforts cover the majority of listed equities and fixed income positions within our portfolios. These approaches provide flexibility to our portfolio managers, who use the ESG valuation and research inputs to varying degrees, according to their specific strategies and mandates.

(B) Long/short equity

Itau AM ESG integration efforts cover the majority of listed equities and fixed income positions within our portfolios. These approaches provide flexibility to our portfolio managers, who use the ESG valuation and research inputs to varying degrees, according to their specific strategies and mandates.

(C) Long/short credit

Not applicable. Itau AM currently does not have Long/short credit positions.

(E) Structured credit

Itau AM ESG Unit is a formal member of the credit committee, where all credit investment cases are presented and must be approved before investment. Itau AM ESG Unit present the ESG integration model for each investment case, analyzing the estimated financial impact of E&S issues and the corporate governance structure and practices of the analyzed companies. This analysis includes scenarios for the implications of changing ESG trends and company performance. Itau AM ESG Unit have a formal veto power in the credit committee. ESG integration helps to fine tune the distribution of purchased securities across our funds, delivering better risk adjusted returns to our clients, be they individuals, private clients or institutional investors.

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 8	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your hedge fund assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☐ (A) We have internal compliance procedures that ensure all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening have pre-trade checks
- ☐ (B) We have an external committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☐ (C) We have an independent internal committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☐ (D) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens
- ☒ (E) **Not applicable; we do not conduct negative exclusionary screening on our hedge fund assets**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 9	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your hedge funds, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

	(1) Multi-strategy	(2) Long/short equity	(3) Long/short credit	(5) Structured credit
(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual securities, issuers and financial instruments	☐	☐	☐	☒
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other securities, issuers and financial instruments exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	☐	☐	☐	☐

(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities

☐
☐
☐
☒

(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents

☒
☒
☐
☒

(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their own discretion

☐
☐
☐
☐

(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process

☐
☐
☒
☐

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)

SETTING TARGETS AND TRACKING PROGRESS

SETTING TARGETS ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 1	PLUS	PGS 48	SO 2, SO 2.1, SO 3	PUBLIC	Setting targets on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities has your organisation taken action on?

☒ **(A) Sustainability outcome #1**

(1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome

☒ **(1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets**

☐ (2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement

☐ (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)

☐ (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors

☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy

☐ (6) Other relevant taxonomies

☐ (7) The International Bill of Human Rights

☐ (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions

☐ (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity

☐ (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)

(2) Classification of sustainability outcome

☒ **(1) Environmental**

☒ **(2) Social**

☐ (3) Governance-related

☐ (4) Other

(3) Sustainability outcome name

Sanitation. Sewage collection and treatment. Potable water distribution.

(4) Number of targets set for this outcome

☒ **(1) No target**

☐ (2) One target

☐ (3) Two or more targets

☒ **(B) Sustainability outcome #2**

(1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome

☒ **(1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets**

☐ (2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement

☐ (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)

☐ (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors

☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy

☐ (6) Other relevant taxonomies

☐ (7) The International Bill of Human Rights

☐ (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions

☐ (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity

☐ (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)

- (2) Classification of sustainability outcome
- ☐ (1) Environmental
 - ☒ (2) **Social**
 - ☐ (3) Governance-related
 - ☐ (4) Other
- (3) Sustainability outcome name
- Education
- (4) Number of targets set for this outcome
- ☒ (1) **No target**
 - ☐ (2) One target
 - ☐ (3) Two or more targets
- ☒ (C) **Sustainability outcome #3**
- (1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome
- ☒ (1) **The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets**
 - ☐ (2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
 - ☐ (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
 - ☐ (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
 - ☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy
 - ☐ (6) Other relevant taxonomies
 - ☐ (7) The International Bill of Human Rights
 - ☐ (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
 - ☐ (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity
 - ☐ (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)
- (2) Classification of sustainability outcome
- ☒ (1) **Environmental**
 - ☐ (2) Social
 - ☐ (3) Governance-related
 - ☐ (4) Other
- (3) Sustainability outcome name
- Renewable energy
- (4) Number of targets set for this outcome
- ☒ (1) **No target**
 - ☐ (2) One target
 - ☐ (3) Two or more targets
- ☒ (D) **Sustainability outcome #4**
- (1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome
- ☒ (1) **The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets**
 - ☐ (2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
 - ☐ (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
 - ☐ (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
 - ☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy
 - ☐ (6) Other relevant taxonomies
 - ☐ (7) The International Bill of Human Rights
 - ☐ (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
 - ☐ (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity
 - ☐ (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)
- (2) Classification of sustainability outcome
- ☐ (1) Environmental
 - ☒ (2) **Social**
 - ☐ (3) Governance-related
 - ☐ (4) Other

(3) Sustainability outcome name

Health

(4) Number of targets set for this outcome

- (1) No target
- (2) One target
- (3) Two or more targets

☒ (E) Sustainability outcome #5

(1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome

- ☒ (1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- ☐ (2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- ☐ (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- ☐ (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- ☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy
- ☐ (6) Other relevant taxonomies
- ☐ (7) The International Bill of Human Rights
- ☐ (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
- ☐ (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- ☐ (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)

(2) Classification of sustainability outcome

- ☒ (1) Environmental
- ☐ (2) Social
- ☐ (3) Governance-related
- ☐ (4) Other

(3) Sustainability outcome name

Waste management

(4) Number of targets set for this outcome

- (1) No target
- (2) One target
- (3) Two or more targets

- ☐ (F) Sustainability outcome #6
- ☐ (G) Sustainability outcome #7
- ☐ (H) Sustainability outcome #8
- ☐ (I) Sustainability outcome #9
- ☐ (J) Sustainability outcome #10

INDIVIDUAL AND COLLABORATIVE INVESTOR ACTION ON OUTCOMES

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 7	PLUS	OO 17 FI, SO 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

During the reporting year, did you use thematic bonds to take action on sustainability outcomes, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

Thematic bond(s) label

(A) Sustainability Outcome #1:
Sanitation. Sewage collection and
treatment. Potable water
distribution.

(A) Green/climate bonds

(B) Sustainability Outcome #2:
Education

(C) Sustainability Outcome #3:
Renewable energy

(A) Green/climate bonds

(D) Sustainability Outcome #4:
Health

(E) Sustainability Outcome #5:
Waste management

(A) Green/climate bonds

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- ☒ (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- ☒ (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☐ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- ☐ (E) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- ☐ (F) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

THIRD-PARTY EXTERNAL ASSURANCE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 2	CORE	OO 21, CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Third-party external assurance	6

For which responsible investment processes and/or data did your organisation conduct third-party external assurance?

- ☒ (A) Policy, governance and strategy
Select from dropdown list:
 - ☐ (1) Data assured
 - ☐ (2) Processes assured
 - ☒ (3) Processes and data assured
- ☒ (C) Listed equity
Select from dropdown list:
 - ☐ (1) Data assured
 - ☐ (2) Processes assured
 - ☒ (3) Processes and data assured
- ☒ (D) Fixed income
Select from dropdown list:
 - ☐ (1) Data assured
 - ☐ (2) Processes assured
 - ☒ (3) Processes and data assured
- ☒ (H) Hedge funds
Select from dropdown list:

- (1) Data assured
- (2) Processes assured
- (3) Processes and data assured

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 3	PLUS	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Third-party external assurance	6

Provide details of the third-party external assurance process regarding the information submitted in your PRI report.

(1) Description of the third-party external assurance process

Itaú AM ESG data and processes are publicly reported at the Itaú ESG annual report. In connection with the issue of the Independent Auditor's Limited Assurance Report, the auditor reports that have been engaged by Itaú Unibanco Holding S.A. ("Itaú Unibanco" or "Company") to present our limited assurance report on (i) the non- financial information included in the 2024 ESG Report of Itaú Unibanco for the year ended December 31, 2024; (ii) the compliance with the principles established by the standard AA1000 AccountAbility Principles 2018 - "AA1000AP (2018)"; and (iii) the compliance with items 2.1. Impact Analysis, 2.2. Target Configuration, 2.3. Goals Implementation and Monitoring, and 5.1. Principles for Responsible Banking (PRB) Governance Structure.

(2) Assurance standard(s) used by the third-party assurance provider

- ☐ (A) PAS 7341:2020
 - ☐ (B) ISAE 3000 and national standards based on this
 - ☐ (C) Dutch Standard 3810N (Assurance engagements regarding sustainability reports)
 - ☐ (D) RevR6 (Assurance of Sustainability)
 - ☐ (E) IDW AsS 821 (Assurance Standard for the Audit or Review of Reports on Sustainability Issues)
 - ☒ (F) **Accountability AA1000 Assurance Standard (AA1000AS)**
 - ☐ (G) IFC performance standards
 - ☐ (H) SSAE 18 and SOC 1
 - ☐ (I) Other national auditing/assurance standard with guidance on sustainability; specify:
 - ☐ (J) Invest Europe Handbook of Professional Standards
 - ☐ (K) ISAE 3402 Assurance Reports on Controls at a Service Organisation
 - ☐ (L) AAF 01/20
 - ☐ (M) AAF 01/06 Stewardship Supplement
 - ☐ (N) ISO 26000 Social Responsibility
 - ☐ (O) ISO 14065:2020 General principles and requirements for bodies validating and verifying environmental information
 - ☐ (P) ASAE 3410 Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements
 - ☐ (Q) PCAF
 - ☐ (R) NGER audit framework (National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting)
 - ☐ (S) Auditor's proprietary assurance framework for assuring RI-related information
 - ☐ (T) Other greenhouse gas emissions assurance standard; specify:
- (3) Third-party external assurance provider's report that contains the assurance conclusion

INTERNAL AUDIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 4	CORE	OO 21, CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal audit	6

What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited through your internal audit function?

- ☒ (A) **Policy, governance and strategy**
- Select from dropdown list:
- (1) Data internally audited

- (2) Processes internally audited
- (3) Processes and data internally audited
- ☑ (C) Listed equity
 - Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) Data internally audited
 - (2) Processes internally audited
 - (3) Processes and data internally audited
- ☑ (D) Fixed income
 - Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) Data internally audited
 - (2) Processes internally audited
 - (3) Processes and data internally audited
- ☑ (H) Hedge funds
 - Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) Data internally audited
 - (2) Processes internally audited
 - (3) Processes and data internally audited

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 5	PLUS	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal audit	6

Provide details of the internal audit process regarding the information submitted in your PRI report.

Itaú AM ESG processes and data are regularly audited by Itaú audit unit. Dedicated ESG audit professionals engage with Itaú AM ESG unit in order to analyze and gather evidence on the internal governance, processes, ESG models and reported information.